

**Weakly decaying asymptotically flat static
and stationary solutions to the Einstein equations**

Daniel Kennefick* and Niall Ó Murchadha

Physics Department, University College, Cork, Ireland.

Abstract

The assumption that a solution to the Einstein equations is static (or stationary) very strongly constrains the asymptotic behaviour of the metric. It is shown that one need only impose very weak differentiability and decay conditions *a priori* on the metric for the field equations to force the metric to be analytic near infinity and to have the standard Schwarzschildian falloff.

I: Introduction

It has long been known that the asymptotically flat static and stationary solutions of the Einstein equations are analytic at spacelike infinity (Beig and Simon 1980, 1981). This should come as no real surprise, because they are the special solutions without gravitational radiation and so therefore the far-field should be dominated by the Newtonian potential(s). Further, Beig and Simon (1980, 1981) have shown that all static and stationary solutions are Schwarzschildian at infinity.

In this article, we wish to improve on this result by weakening (in a significant way) the assumptions made, yet recovering the Beig and Simon results, both about analyticity and about falloff.

One assumption made by Beig and Simon was that the given (static or stationary) metric went flat like $1/r$ (in some asymptotically cartesian coordinate system). It has been shown that ‘reasonable’ solutions to the Einstein equations exist which fall off much slower at infinity (Christodoulou and Ó Murchadha 1981). For example, the condition for finite total energy is that the metric go flat faster than $r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ (Bartnik 1986, Chruściel 1986a,b, Ó Murchadha 1986). With such a fall-off, it can be shown that the energy is very well behaved, it is positive and is a component of a well-defined timelike energy-momentum vector which transforms correctly under Lorentz transformations. Christodoulou and Ó Murchadha (1981) even discussed solutions which went flat essentially arbitrarily slowly. Such solutions, despite the fact that their masses were infinite, could reasonably be described as being asymptotically flat with complete spacelike infinities.

One result of this paper is that all such slow decay at infinity must be due to the existence of gravitational radiation near spacelike infinity. In particular, we show that if we assume that the solution has slow falloff near infinity and that it is simultaneously either static or stationary then the field equations force the usual $\frac{1}{r}$ falloff on us and we recover the standard Beig and Simon Schwarzschildian result.

In addition to allowing slow decay at spacelike infinity, we also assume that the metric belongs to some Sobolev space and so need only be weakly differentiable, rather than belonging to any classical space. Again, the field equations force up the differentiability and we can finally conclude that the metric must be analytic.

In this paper, we are careful to impose conditions only on the metric in the physical spacetime. We then use the field equations to show that other (unphysical) quantities are suitably smooth as we need them. We take a straightforward $3 + 1$ approach to the

problem and write the static and stationary field equations as conditions on the Cauchy data for the gravitational field (Arnowitt, Deser and Misner 1962 (ADM)).

II: Asymptotically flat static and stationary solutions

We will consistently adopt an initial value approach in this article. This means that we assume we are given initial data for the gravitational field on a single spacelike slice. The Einstein evolution equations now determine how this data evolves. This evolution then can be tested to see whether the spacetime is either static or stationary. We are only interested in working near infinity so we will assume that the data is given on a region equivalent to R^3 with a ball cut out.

Initial data for the vacuum gravitational field consists of a pair (g_{ij}, π^{ij}) (ADM), where g_{ij} is an asymptotically flat Riemannian three-metric on the given manifold and π^{ij} is a symmetric three-tensor density. These are not independent, they must satisfy the constraints

$$^{(3)}R = \frac{1}{g}[\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ab}\pi^{ab})^2] \quad (2.1)$$

$$\nabla_i \pi^{ij} = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where $^{(3)}R$ is the three scalar curvature and ∇ is the covariant derivative compatible with g_{ij} . The rest of the Einstein equations form the evolution equations

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = 2Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}[\pi_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ab}\pi^{ab})g_{ij}] + N_{i;j} + N_{j;i} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \pi^{ij}}{\partial t} = & -Ng^{\frac{1}{2}}[R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}R] + \frac{1}{2}Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}g^{ij}[\pi_{ab}\pi^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ab}\pi^{ab})^2] - 2Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}[\pi^{im}\pi_m^j \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ab}\pi^{ab})\pi^{ij}] + g^{\frac{1}{2}}(N^{;ij} - g^{ij}\nabla^2 N) + (\pi^{ij}N^m)_{;m} - N_{;m}^i\pi^{mj} - N_{;m}^j\pi^{im} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The extra scalar and vector (N, N^i) (the lapse and shift) represent the arbitrariness in the choice of “time” off the hypersurface. That is

$$t^\mu = Nn^\mu + N^\mu, \quad n^\mu N_\mu = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where t^μ is the time translation vector and n^μ is the unit (timelike) normal to the hypersurface; N^μ is really a three-vector, it lies entirely in the given slice.

Now we wish to consider the case where the given data represents a slice through a spacetime which has a timelike Killing vector k^μ (at least near infinity). This implies that for the given (g, π) there exists a particular choice of (N, N^i) which, when substituted into (2.3) and (2.4), gives

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \pi^{ij}}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

This special choice of lapse and shift are those which correspond to using the killing vector as “time” direction. In other words, we split up k^μ , as suggested by eq.(2.5), to generate the desired (N, N^i) ,

$$k^\mu = Nn^\mu + N^\mu. \quad (2.7)$$

Let us further assume that the spacetime is static. This means that we can assume that the killing vector is hypersurface orthogonal. Let us choose our three-slice to be the hypersurface that is perpendicular to the killing vector. This means that the killing vector is parallel to n^μ and the shift N^μ will be zero. To repeat: for a static spacetime we must have an initial data set (g, π) (satisfying the constraints) and a choice of lapse N (with $N^i = 0$) such that (2.6) holds. N is just the length of the killing vector.

In the light of this (2.3) reads

$$N[\pi_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{ab}\pi^{ab})g_{ij}] = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Since we must have $N \neq 0$ (otherwise the Killing vector vanishes) we immediately get

$$\pi^{ij} \equiv 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Obviously, (2.2) is trivially satisfied. The Hamiltonian constraint (Eq. (2.1)) gives us

$$^{(3)}R \equiv 0. \quad (2.10)$$

When (2.9) and (2.10) are substituted into (2.4) we get

$$0 = -NR^{ij} + (N^{;ij} - g^{ij}\nabla^2 N). \quad (2.11)$$

The trace of (2.11) gives

$$0 = -N^{(3)}R - 2\nabla^2 N. \quad (2.12)$$

But since $^{(3)}R = 0$ (Eq. (2.10)) we get

$$\nabla^2 N = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Finally, when (2.13) is substituted back into (2.11) we find that

$$NR_{ij} = N_{;ij}. \quad (2.14)$$

Therefore a static solution to the Einstein field equations is equivalent to having a Riemannian three-metric g and a scalar function N which satisfy (2.14), together with either (2.13) or (2.10). We need only one or the other because the trace of (2.14) gives

$$N^{(3)}R = \nabla^2 N. \quad (2.15)$$

Having obtained the static field equations in the form (2.10), (2.13), (2.14) we wish to manipulate them further. We wish to use the lapse N as a conformal factor to simplify the equations. In particular we define a function u by

$$e^u = N, \quad (2.16)$$

and transform the metric by the rule

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} = N^2 g_{ab} = e^{2u} g_{ab}. \quad (2.17)$$

A standard calculation now shows

$$\tilde{R}_{jl} = R_{jl} - u_{;jl} - g_{jl} \nabla^2 u + u_{;j} u_{;l} - (\nabla u)^2 g_{jl}. \quad (2.18)$$

It is easy to show

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_{;ij}}{N} &= u_{;ij} + u_{;i} u_{;j}, \\ \nabla^2 N &= e^u [\nabla^2 u + (\nabla u)^2], \\ \tilde{\nabla}^2 u &= e^{-2u} [\nabla^2 u + (\nabla u)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

Therefore (2.13), (2.14) corresponds to

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = +2u_{;i} u_{;j}, \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 u = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Eq.(2.20) and Eq.(2.21) express the static field equations in the form that is most convenient for further analysis.

The equations do not simplify so dramatically in the stationary case because the Killing vector will not be hypersurface orthogonal. However, some significant simplification can still be achieved. We can no longer force the conjugate momentum (π^{ij}) to vanish entirely but we can always choose our slice so that $g_{ij}\pi^{ij} = 0$, at least near infinity (Bartnik, Chruściel and Ó Murchadha 1990) (which is all we are interested in).

Taking the trace of Eq.(2.3) gives

$$-Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}g_{ab}\pi^{ab} + 2\nabla_a N^a = 0, \quad (2.22)$$

which immediately gives

$$\nabla_a N^a = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

The trace of Eq.(2.4) gives

$$\frac{1}{2}Ng^{\frac{1}{2}}R - \frac{1}{2}Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi_{ab}\pi^{ab} - 2g^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2 N - 2N_{a;b}\pi^{ab} = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Using the Hamiltonian constraint (2.1) we can eliminate the first two terms to give

$$g^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla^2 N = -N_{a;b}\pi^{ab} \quad (2.25)$$

If we multiply (2.3) by π^{ij} we get

$$Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi_{ab}\pi^{ab} = -N_{a;b}\pi^{ab}. \quad (2.26)$$

If we now substitute (2.26) into (2.25) to give

$$\nabla^2 N = Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi_{ab}\pi^{ab} = NR. \quad (2.27)$$

This is the well-known equation for the choice of lapse which preserves the maximal slicing ($g_{ab}\pi^{ab} = 0$) condition. Finally, we can substitute (2.27) into (2.4) to simplify it and give

$$Ng^{\frac{1}{2}}R_{ab} = g^{\frac{1}{2}}N_{;ab} - 2Ng^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi_a^m\pi_{mb} + (\pi_{ab}N^m)_{;m} - N_{a;m}\pi_b^m - N_{b;m}\pi_a^m. \quad (2.28)$$

III Weakly decaying static solutions

Following from Section II, we seek a metric g and a function N satisfying

$$NR_{ab} = N_{;ab} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\nabla^2 N = {}^{(3)}R = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

We now need to define suitable function spaces in which to define them. We choose to use weighted Sobolev spaces. Let us define:

Definition 1. $\sigma = (1 + |x^2|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Definition 2. $H_{s,\delta}({}^3R/B)$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, is the class of all functions f on ${}^3R/B$ possessing weak derivatives up to order s such that $\sigma^{-\delta-\frac{3}{2}+|\alpha|}D^\alpha f$, for each $|\alpha| \leq s$, is square integrable on ${}^3R/B$.

This forms a Hilbert space with norm

$$\|f\|_{H_{s,\delta}} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \left\{ \int_{{}^3R/B} \sigma^{-2\delta-3+2|\alpha|} |D^\alpha f|^2 dv \right\}.$$

Note: This definition of a weighted Sobolev space is the standard one (as in Choquet-Bruhat and Christodoulou 1981) except that (following Bartnik 1986) we have subtracted $3/2$ from the old definition of δ and changed sign. Now a function in $H_{s,\delta}$ has (more or less) classical blowup like $r^{+\delta}$.

One standard result (Choquet-Bruhat and Christodoulou 1981) we will frequently use is the:

Multiplication Theorem. *Pointwise multiplication is a continuous bilinear map*

$$(f_1, f_2) \rightarrow f_1 f_2$$

$$H_{s_1,\delta_1} \times H_{s_2,\delta_2} \rightarrow H_{s,\delta}$$

if $s_1, s_2 \geq s$, $s < s_1 + s_2 - 3/2$, $\delta > \delta_1 + \delta_2$.

We wish to consider static solutions that are asymptotically flat in the sense that the metric (in some coordinate frame) approaches the flat cartesian metric at infinity. We also assume that the killing vector approaches the standard time-translation killing vector in the same frame. In other words, we wish to consider solutions to (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) on a manifold with topology ${}^3R/B$, having the following properties:

$$g - \delta \in H_{s,\delta}, \quad s \geq 3, \quad \delta < 0 \tag{3.4a}$$

$$N \rightarrow 1 \text{ at } \infty. \tag{3.4b}$$

Condition (3.4a) on the metric says that it approaches the cartesian metric δ at infinity, but we do not care how slowly; the condition (3.4b) on N (the lapse, the length of the Killing vector) says that it goes to a time-translation at infinity. Standard theorems on

the laplacian (see e.g. Ó Murchadha (1986)) allow us to deduce immediately from (3.2) that N must satisfy

$$N - 1 + \frac{M}{r} \in H_{s+1, \delta-1} , \quad (3.5)$$

where M is some constant.

We know that $N - 1$ satisfies $\nabla^2(N - 1) = 0$ and when one explicitly writes this out it becomes clear that the leading part of $N - 1$ must be a harmonic function of the flat space laplacian. The residue then falls off faster than $1/r$. Note also that N (again because it satisfies the laplacian) is one degree more differentiable than g .

Now we know that N is smooth and well-behaved [in particular we need that N is bounded away from zero in a neighbourhood of infinity, we can use the standard embedding theorem (Choquet-Bruhat and Christodoulou 1981) to prove this], we can use it as a conformal factor, $\tilde{g} = N^2 g = e^{2u} g$. $\tilde{g}$ can be shown to be a riemannian metric which satisfies

$$\tilde{g} - \delta \in H_{s, \delta}, \quad s \geq 3, \delta < 0 , \quad (3.6)$$

outside some ball B' .

The field equations take the form ((2.20), (2.21))

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = +2u_{;i}u_{;j}, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 u = 0, \quad u \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } \infty . \quad (3.8)$$

again, the standard theorem for the laplacian on weighted Sobolev spaces gives

$$u - \frac{A}{r} \in H_{s+1, \delta-1} , \quad (3.9)$$

for some constant A . (This also follows directly from (3.5) and $u = \ln N$.)

When this is substituted into (3.7) we see that the Ricci curvature of the conformally transformed space falls off like $1/r^4$. We actually get

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} - 2A^2 \frac{x^i x^j}{r^6} \in H_{s, \delta-4}, \quad (3.10)$$

where A is the same constant as in (3.9). Since in three dimensions, Ricci is equivalent to Riemann, this means that the Riemann curvature also falls off like $1/r^4$. This, in turn means that the space must be flat to order $1/r^2$. When we return to the physical

space by multiplying by N^{-2} we introduce a $1/r$ term into the metric but this term is a pure conformal factor of the form $2M/r$. Therefore the physical three-space must be pure Schwarzschild to order $1/r$, $g_{ab} = (1 + 2M/r)\delta_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(1/r^2)$.

This argument can be made more precise by introducing harmonic coordinates in the conformal space. We seek three functions ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 satisfying

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 \phi_1 = 0, \quad \phi_1 \rightarrow x \text{ at } \infty, \quad (3.11)$$

and similarly for ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 . Such functions exist and belong to $H_{s+1,1}$. We use these as our new coordinates near infinity (the details can be seen, for example, in Ó Murchadha 1986) and the transformed metric $\tilde{g}'$ will belong to the same space as $\tilde{g}$, $\tilde{g}' - \delta \in H_{s,\delta}$. Writing $\tilde{h}_{ab} = \tilde{g}'_{ab} - \delta_{ab}$, we get

$$\tilde{R}_{ab} = \tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{h}_{ab} + \Gamma\Gamma. \quad (3.12)$$

This is the standard simplification of the Ricci tensor that occurs on using harmonic coordinates. Γ is essentially just the first derivative of the metric so it is easy to show $\Gamma \in H_{s-1,\delta-1}$. The multiplication theorem can now be used to show $\Gamma\Gamma \in H_{s-1,2\delta-2}$. This is where we need to use $s \geq 3$, otherwise the derivatives go bad. We rewrite (3.12) as

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 \tilde{h}_{ab} = \tilde{R}_{ab} - \Gamma\Gamma. \quad (3.13)$$

Since we know, from (3.10), that the Ricci tensor is both smoother and has faster falloff than $\Gamma\Gamma$, we see that (3.13) forces $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ into $H_{s+1,2\delta}$. Therefore we improve both the differentiability and the falloff of the metric. We iterate this argument l times where l satisfies $2^l \delta < -1$. At this point we find that $\Gamma\Gamma$ (classically) falls off faster than $1/r^3$. At this stage when we invert the laplacian (see Ó Murchadha 1986) we pick up a harmonic function of the flat-space laplacian. In other words we have

$$\tilde{h}_{ab} - \frac{A_{ab}}{r} \in H_{ls,-\epsilon-1}, \quad (3.14)$$

where A_{ab} are six (apparently independent) constants. However, we also require that the metric be harmonic, i.e., $2\tilde{h}_{ab,b} - \tilde{h}_{bb,a} = 0$. When we apply these conditions to (3.14) we get a set of relationships between the A_{ab} 's which cannot be satisfied. Therefore they must all vanish and $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ must fall off faster than $1/r$. We can now continue the iteration and show that $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ must fall off like $1/r^2$. At this stage we have improved the falloff and differentiability sufficiently so that we can appeal to Beig and Simon and get that $\tilde{h}_{ab}$ must be analytic.

The content of this Section can therefore be summarized as

Theorem. *Given an asymptotically flat Riemannian three-metric $g - \delta \in H_{3,\delta}$, $\delta < 0$, which is the metric on a slice transverse to the killing vector in a static space-time which is vacuum near infinity, and given that the length of the killing vector goes to a constant at infinity, it follows that the metric must be analytic and Schwarzschildian at infinity.*

Remarks:(i) We need three weak derivatives because we have to estimate terms like $(g_{ab,c})^2$ and have them smoother than $g_{ij,kl}$ so as to improve the differentiability. If we were willing to consider non-integral differentiability what we require is $x - 2 < 2(x - 1) - 3/2$. This implies $x > 3/2$. In particular $s = 2$ will work.

(ii) The $\delta < 0$ condition classically means that we are willing to consider any metric which decays to flat space like $1/r^\epsilon$ for any ϵ . Obviously one would like to replace this with ‘going flat’ and not require any kind of power-law decay. It is difficult to see how this might be achieved; none of the present battery of weighted spaces (classical, Hölder ...) seem suitable.

(iii) The condition $N \rightarrow 1$ at infinity can be significantly relaxed. All we need to do is to eliminate the harmonic functions of the laplacian. Therefore all we need is that N grows slower than r at infinity. The question of the behaviour of the solution if one places no restriction at all on N must be analyzed separately.

IV: Weakly decaying stationary solutions

As we showed in Section II, the stationary equations are equivalent to the existence of a Riemannian metric g , a tracefree symmetric tensor P (we define $P^{ab} = g^{-\frac{1}{2}}\pi^{ab}$ to get rid of the determinants of g), a scalar N (the lapse, the length of the part of the killing vector orthogonal to the slice) and a vector N^i (the shift, the part of the killing vector in the slice) satisfying

$${}^{(3)}R = P^{ab}P_{ab}. \quad (4.1)$$

$$\nabla_a P^{ab} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

$$\nabla^2 N = NR. \quad (4.3)$$

$$\nabla^2 N = -N_{a;b}P^{ab}. \quad (4.4)$$

$$2NP_{ab} + N_{a;b} + N_{b;a} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

$$g_{ab}P^{ab} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

$$\nabla_a N^a = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

$$NR_{ab} = N_{;ab} - 2NP_a^m P_{bm} + P_{ab;m} N^m - N_{a;m} P_b^m - N_{b;m} P_a^m. \quad (4.8)$$

As in Section III we want to make some (weak) assumptions about the asymptotic behaviour of the metric, the extrinsic curvature and of the killing vector. We wish to assume

$$g_{ab} - \delta_{ab} \in H_{3,\delta}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$N \rightarrow 1, \quad N^a \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } \infty. \quad (4.10)$$

Equation (4.9) gives us that ${}^{(3)}R \in H_{1,\delta-2}$. This essentially forces (from eqn.(4.1))

$$P^{ab} \in H_{2,\frac{\delta}{2}-1}. \quad (4.11)$$

We assume that this holds. Equation (4.1) also tells us that ${}^{(3)}R \geq 0$ and this means that N (from eqn.(4.3)) satisfies a maximum principle from which we find that (Theorem 3.5, Christodoulou and Ó Murchadha 1981)

$$N - 1 \in H_{3,\delta}. \quad (4.12)$$

Taking the divergence of the tracefree part of (4.5) gives

$$-2N_{;a}P_b^a = [N_{a;b} + N_{b;a} - \frac{2}{3}\nabla_c N^c g_{ab}]^{;a}. \quad (4.13)$$

The multiplication theorem, together with eqns.(4.11) and (4.12), gives us that $2N_{;a}P_b^a \in H_{2,\frac{3\delta}{2}-2}$. The right-hand-side of (4.13) is the divergence of the conformal killing form of the vector N^a . This is a very nice second order linear elliptic operator. We immediately get (Lemma 3.1, Christodoulou and Ó Murchadha 1981)

$$N^a \in H_{3,\frac{3\delta}{2}}. \quad (4.14)$$

We can substitute (4.11) and (4.14) into (4.4) to get $\nabla^2 N \in H_{2,2\delta-2}$. In turn this gives

$$N - 1 \in H_{4,2\delta}. \quad (4.15)$$

Comparing with (4.12), we see that we have improved both the smoothness and the falloff of N . When (4.15) is substituted into (4.13) we now get that $2N_{;a}P_b^a \in H_{2,\frac{5\delta}{2}-2}$. In turn this gives

$$N^a \in H_{3,\frac{5\delta}{2}}. \quad (4.16)$$

We can iterate this procedure and keep improving the falloff of both N and N^a . There exists an integer n such that $n\delta < -1$. We get $\nabla^2 N \in H_{2,n\delta-2}$. Then we find

$$N - 1 + C/r \in H_{4,n\delta}, \quad (4.17)$$

where C is some constant. We also find that N^a falls off like $1/r$. Thus we can show that the killing vector must be quite regular at infinity.

We now need to show that the metric and extrinsic curvature have similar properties. The easiest way to proceed is to imitate Beig and Simon. We have shown that N and N^a are well-behaved at infinity. Therefore we see that $\lambda = N^2 - N^a N_a$ is also regular, so that

$$\lambda - 1 + C/r \in H_{3,-1-\epsilon}, \quad (4.18)$$

where λ is the length of the killing vector. Also regular are $\sigma_a = N_a/\lambda$ and $\tilde{g}_{ab} = \lambda(g_{ab} + \sigma_a \sigma_b)$ with

$$\sigma_a \in H_{3,-1}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} - \delta_{ab} \in H_{3,\delta}. \quad (4.20)$$

It can be shown that $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ is a regular riemannian metric, at least in a neighbourhood of infinity.

The next step is to realise that ω_a

$$\omega_i = -\lambda^2 \epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{D}^j \sigma^k \quad (4.21)$$

is curlfree, and can be replaced by a scalar ω , $\omega_a = \tilde{D}_a \omega$. Finally, Beig and Simon replace (λ, ω) by ϕ_A ($A = 1, 2$)

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{4} \lambda^{-1} (\lambda^2 + \omega^2 - 1), \quad \phi_2 = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1} \omega. \quad (4.22)$$

The field equations now read

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 \phi_A = 2\tilde{R}\phi_A \quad (4.23a)$$

$$\tilde{R}_{ab} = 2\tilde{D}_a \phi_A \tilde{D}_b \phi_A - \tilde{D}_a \Sigma \tilde{D}_b \Sigma, \quad (4.23b)$$

with $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 4\phi_A \phi_A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now we can iterate on (4.23) without difficulty and show that we can improve both the decay rates and the differentiability of $(\tilde{g}_{ab}, \phi_A)$ essentially without limit. Eventually we reach high enough differentiability so that we can replace the weak derivatives with ordinary derivatives; we then can use Beig and Simon to prove analyticity.

Thus we have proven:

Theorem. *Given a solution to the Einstein equations which is asymptotically flat, vacuum outside a region of compact support and possesses a timelike killing vector in the exterior region, such that $g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} \in H_{4,\delta}(R^4 - B)$ for any $\delta < 0$ in the natural coordinates (where $\partial/\partial t$ is the killing vector) the metric must be analytic and Schwarzschildian at infinity.*

References

*Current address: Theoretical Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif. U.S.A.

Arnowitt, R., Deser, S., Misner, C. W. 1962 The dynamics of general relativity. In *Gravitation: An introduction to current research* (ed. E. Witten), pp. New York: J. Wiley & Sons.

Bartnik, R. 1986 The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Maths.* **39**, 661-693.

Bartnik, R., Chruściel, P. T., Ó Murchadha, N. 1990 On maximal surfaces in asymptotically flat space-times. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **130**, 95-109.

Beig, R., Simon, W. 1980 The stationary gravitational field near spacelike infinity *G.R.G.* **12**, 439-451

Beig, R., Simon, W. 1981 On the multipole expansion for stationary space-times *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **A 376**, 333-341.

Choquet-Bruhat, Y., Christodoulou, D. 1981 Elliptic systems in $H_{s,\delta}$ systems which are euclidean at infinity. *Acta Math.* **146**, 129-150.

Christodoulou, D., Ó Murchadha, N. 1981 The boost problem in general relativity. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **80**, 271-300.

Chruściel, P. T. 1986a Boundary conditions at spatial infinity from a Hamiltonian point of view. In *Topological properties and global structure of space-time* (eds. P. G. Bergmann & V. de Sabbata), pp. 49-59, New York: Plenum Press.

Chruściel, P. T. 1986b A remark on the positive-energy theorem. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **3**, L115-L121.

Ó Murchadha, N. 1986 Total energy-momentum in general relativity . *J. Math. Phys.* **27**, 2111-2128.